arXiv:0909.3951v1 [math.OA] 22 Sep 2009

THE STABILIZATION THEOREM FOR PROPER GROUPOIDS

ALAN L. T. PATERSON

Abstract. The stabilization theorem for A-Hilbert modules was established by G. G. Kasparov. The equivariant version, in which a locally compact group H acts properly on a locally compact space Y, was proved by N. C. Phillips. This equivariant theorem involves the Hilbert $(H, C_0(Y))$-module $C_0(Y, L^2(H)^\infty)$. It can naturally be interpreted in terms of a stabilization theorem for proper groupoids, and the paper establishes this theorem within the general proper groupoid context. The theorem has applications in equivariant KK-theory and groupoid index theory.

1. Introduction

The Kasparov stabilization theorem ([11]) asserts that for a C*-algebra A, the standard Hilbert module A^∞ "absorbs" every other (countably generated) Hilbert A-module P in the sense that

$$P \oplus A^\infty \cong A^\infty.$$

The theorem is of central importance for the development of KK-theory, and can be regarded as an extension of Swan's theorem for vector bundles. Accounts of the theorem are given in the books by Blackadar and Wegge-Olsen ([3, 27]). In [13, Part 1, §2, Theorem 1], Kasparov obtained a stabilization theorem involving a group action: if H is a locally compact group acting on A and P is a Hilbert $(H-A)$-module that is countably generated as a Hilbert A-module, then

$$P \oplus L^2(H, A)^\infty \cong L^2(H, A)^\infty$$

in the sense that there exists an H-continuous isomorphism from $P \oplus L^2(H, A)^\infty$ onto $L^2(H, A)^\infty$. The isomorphism, however, need not be equivariant. An elegant, self-contained account of all of this is contained in the paper [19] of J. A. Mingo and W. J. Phillips.

For an equivariant stabilization theorem, one needs a properness condition, and N. C. Phillips has obtained such a theorem in the case of group actions ([24, Theorem 2.9]). Here, a locally compact group H is assumed to act properly on a locally compact Hausdorff space Y. This action gives in the

---

Date: August, 2009.

Key words and phrases. groupoids, stabilization, G-Hilbert modules, G-Hilbert bundles.

obvious way an action of H on the C^*-algebra $C_0(Y)$. A Hilbert $(H, C_0(Y)$-module is defined to be a Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-module with a compatible action of H which is strong operator continuous - for the precise definition, see, for example, [11, Definition 1] or [19, Definition 2.1]. The theorem then asserts that for any Hilbert $(H, C_0(Y))$-module P, there is an equivariant isomorphism of Hilbert $(H, C_0(Y))$-modules:

$$P \oplus (C_0(Y) \otimes L^2(H)^\infty) \cong C_0(Y) \otimes L^2(H)^\infty.$$

Phillips uses this stabilization theorem in his proof of the generalized Green-Rosenberg theorem (that equivariant K-theory (in terms of H-Hilbert bundles over Y) is the same as the K-theory of the transformation groupoid C^*-algebra). The starting point for the present paper is the observation (below) that Phillips's stabilization theorem (and the generalized Green-Rosenberg theorem) can be expressed very naturally in terms of locally compact proper groupoids. (Accounts of the theory of locally compact groupoids are given in [25, 20].) Groupoid versions of these theorems are, of course, required for the development of groupoid equivariant KK-theory, as well as for index theory in noncommutative geometry ([6]), in particular, to orbifold theory. (In connection with the latter, the properness condition is automatically satisfied since the structure of an orbifold with underlying space X is completely described by the Morita equivalence class of a *proper*, effective, étale Lie groupoid with orbit space homeomorphic to X ([1, pp.19-23]).) The groupoid stabilization theorem is also necessary for extending Higson's K-theory proof of the index theorem ([10]) to the equivariant case.

In this paper, we will prove the stabilization theorem for proper groupoids; the generalized Green-Rosenberg theorem will be discussed elsewhere. The proof of this stabilization theorem follows similar lines to that of Phillips's stabilization theorem, but also requires groupoid versions of results of [19]. The main additional technical issues to be dealt with arise from the fact that, unlike the Hilbert bundles of [24], the Hilbert bundles involved in this paper are not usually locally trivial. Indeed, the G-Hilbert module P_G for a proper groupoid G, whose Hilbert module P_G^∞ of infinite sequences stabilizes (as we will see) all the other G-Hilbert modules, is associated with a G-Hilbert bundle that is not usually locally trivial.

We now translate the Phillips stabilization theorem into groupoid terms. We are given a locally compact group H acting properly on the left on Y. One forms the transformation groupoid $G = H \times Y$: so multiplication is given by composition - $(h', hy)(h, y) = (h'h, y)$ - and inversion by $(h, y)^{-1} = (h^{-1}, hy)$. The unit space of $H \times Y$ can be identified with Y, and the properness condition translates into the requirement that the groupoid be *proper*: the map $g \to (r(g), s(g))$ (i.e. $(h, y) \to (hy, y)$) is proper (inverse image of compact is compact). The next objective is to interpret in groupoid terms the $C_0(Y) \otimes L^2(H)^\infty$ occurring in the Phillips stabilization theorem. A dense pre-Hilbert $(G, C_0(Y))$-module of $C_0(Y) \otimes L^2(H) = C_0(Y, L^2(H))$ is $C_c(H \times Y) = C_c(G)$ - so for a general proper groupoid G, we should replace

$C_0(Y)\otimes L^2(H)$ by the completion P_G of the pre-Hilbert module $C_c(G)$. The stabilization theorem for proper groupoids is then:

$$P\oplus P_G^\infty \cong P_G^\infty$$

where P is (in the appropriate sense) a G-Hilbert module.

All groupoids in the paper are assumed to be locally compact, Hausdorff, proper and second countable, and all Hilbert spaces and Hilbert modules second countable.

For lack of a convenient reference, we state the following elementary partition of unity result which is proved as in, for example, [9, Theorem 1.3]. *Let X be a second countable locally compact Hausdorff space, C a compact subset of X and $\{V_1,\dots,V_n\}$ a cover of C by relatively compact, open subsets of X. Then there exist $f_i\in C_c(V_i)\subset C_c(X)$ with $0\le f_i\le 1$, $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(y)\le 1$ for all $y\in Y$, $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(y)=1$ for all $y\in C$.*

2. Groupoid Hilbert bundles

We start by discussing the class of Hilbert bundles that we will need for G-actions. The correspondence between Hilbert bundles over Y and Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-modules seems to be well known, but for lack of a reference we sketch the details that we will need. (Note that a Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-module P can be regarded as a left $C_0(Y)$-module - fp is the same as pf for $p\in P, f\in C_0(Y)$.) In the transformation groupoid case developed by Phillips, one uses locally trivial bundles with fiber L and structure group $U(L)$ with the strong operator topology. However, as noted above, the bundle associated with $C_c(G)$, required for the groupoid stabilization theorem, is not always locally trivial (though in the transformation groupoid case, it is trivial ($=Y\times L^2(H)$)), and we extend the class of bundles to be considered as follows. Our approach, based on the work of Fell and Hoffman, is modelled on the account of the Dauns-Hoffman theorem in [8] with bundles of Banach spaces and C^*-algebras replaced by Hilbert bundles over Y and Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-modules. For the results of [8, Chapter 2], the Banach modules are modules over $C_b(X)$ where X is completely regular. In our case, we wish to obtain similar results for Hilbert modules over $C_0(Y)$. (The corresponding modifications needed for $C_0(Y)$-algebras are given in [23]. See also [28, C.2].) Since the Hilbert bundles that we will need are usually not locally trivial, it is natural to define such a bundle in terms of a space of sections deemed to be continuous and vanishing at infinity (cf. [7, Ch. 10]). This can be done. However, for our purposes, it is more convenient to use a topological approach which is in some respects akin to the classical definition of vector bundles. In the following definition of *Hilbert bundle*, we are given a topology on the total space and the set of continuous sections that vanish at infinity has to satisfy certain properties.

Definition 2.1. *Let $\{H_y\}_{y\in Y}$ be a family of Hilbert spaces, E a second countable, topological space which is the disjoint union of the H_y's, and*

$\pi : E \to Y$ be the projection map. Let $C_0(Y, E)$ be the set of continuous sections F of E such $\lim_{y\to\infty} \|F(y)\| = 0$. Then E is called a Hilbert bundle over Y *if the following properties hold:*

- (i) *the addition map $E \oplus_Y E \to E$ and the scalar multiplication map $(Y \times \boldsymbol{C}) \oplus_Y E \to E$ are continuous;*
- (ii) *For each $F \in C_0(Y, E)$, the map $y \to \|F(y)\|$ is continuous;*
- (iii) *for each y, $\{F(y) : F \in C_0(Y, E)\} = H_y$.*
- (iv) *The topology on E is determined by $C_0(Y, E)$ in the sense that a base for it is given by the sets of the form $U_{F,\epsilon}$, where U is an open subset of Y and*

$$U_{F,\epsilon} = \{h_y : y \in U, h_y \in H_y, \|h_y - F(y)\| < \epsilon\}. \tag{1}$$

Here are some comments on the preceding definition. From (i) and (iii), $C_0(Y, E)$ is a vector space. It follows from (iv) and (iii) that π is open and continuous, and each H_y has its Hilbert space norm topology in the relative topology of E. Using (ii), (iii) and (iv), the norm function $\|.\| : E \to \mathbf{R}$ is continuous. By a simple triangular inequality argument - use the continuity of $y \to \|F(y) - F'(y)\|$ for $F, F' \in C_0(Y, E)$ - if $\xi \in H_{y_0}$ and $F \in C_0(Y, E)$ is fixed such that $F(y_0) = \xi$, then the family of sets $U(F, \epsilon)$ with $y_0 \in U$, $\epsilon > 0$, is a base of neighborhoods for ξ in E. By [15, p.57], there is a countable base for the topology of E consisting of sets of the form $U(F, \epsilon)$. We note that E is Hausdorff though we will not use this fact. We also note that in (iv), we get the same topology if the functions F are restricted to lie in a subspace of $C_0(Y, E)$ which is dense in the uniform norm topology (below).

Proposition 1. *Let E be a Hilbert bundle over Y. Then $C_0(Y, E)$ is a separable $C_0(Y)$-Hilbert module in the uniform norm topology: $\|F\| = \sup_{y\in Y} \|F(y)\|$.*

Proof. To show that $C_0(Y, E)$ is a Banach space, one modifies the proof for the corresponding elementary result on uniform convergence of functions. Let $\{F_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $C_0(Y, E)$. Then $F_n \to F$ pointwise for some section F of E. We now show that $F \in C_0(Y, E)$. It is obvious that $\|F(y)\| \to 0$ as $y \to \infty$. It remains to show that F is continuous. Let $y_k \to y_0$ in Y. We have to show that $F(y_k) \to F(y_0)$. Let $F' \in C_0(Y, E)$ be such that $F'(y_0) = F(y_0)$. Let U be an open neighborhood of y_0 and $\epsilon > 0$. One shows that eventually, $F(y_k) \in U(F', \epsilon)$ and the continuity of F follows by the preceding comments on the definition. For $F_1, F_2 \in C_0(Y, E)$, define $\langle F_1, F_2\rangle : Y \to \mathbf{C}$ in the obvious way: $\langle F_1, F_2\rangle(y) = \langle F_1(y), F_2(y)\rangle$. By the polarization identity and (ii) of the definition, $\langle F_1, F_2\rangle \in C_0(Y)$. It is easy to check that $C_0(Y, E)$ is a Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-module with inner product $\langle ., .\rangle$ and module action given by: $Ff(y) = f(y)F(y)$.

We now prove that $C_0(Y, E)$ is separable. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a countable base for E whose elements are of the form $U(F, \eta)$. It suffices to show that for a compact subset C of Y, the space of sections $A \subset C_0(Y, E)$ with support in C is separable. Let $F' \in A$ and $\epsilon > 0$. For each $y \in C$, let U_y be a relatively compact, open neighborhood of y in Y. Then $F'(y) \in U_y(F', \epsilon)$, and there exists a $V_y(F_y, \epsilon_y) \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $F'(y) \in V_y(F_y, \epsilon_y) \subset U_y(F', \epsilon)$. In particular, $y \in V_y \subset U_y$ and $\|F'(y') - F_y(y')\| < \epsilon$ for all $y' \in V_y$. Since C is compact, there exists a finite cover $\{V_{y_1}, \ldots V_{y_n}\}$ of C. Let $\{f_i\}$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ be a partition of unity for C subordinate to the $\{V_{y_i}\}$, and let $F'' = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i F_{y_i}$. Then $\|F'(y) - F''(y)\| < \epsilon$ for all $y \in Y$. The span of such functions F'' in $C_0(Y, E)$ is separable, and the separability of $C_0(Y, E)$ then follows. $\square$

As a simple example of a Hilbert bundle, let $Y = (0, 2)$, F be the trivial Hilbert bundle $Y \times \mathbf{C}^2$ and $\{e_1, e_2\}$ the standard orthonormal basis for $\mathbf{C}^2$. Then $C_0(Y, F) = C_0((0, 2)) \times C_0((0, 2))$ in the obvious way. Let E be the subbundle $[(0, 1] \times \mathbf{C}e_1] \cup [(1, 2) \times \mathbf{C}^2]$ of F with the relative topology. Then E is a Hilbert subbundle of F though it is neither locally constant nor locally compact. (Note that $C_0(Y, E)$ can be identified with $C_0((0, 2)) \times \{f \in C_0((0, 2)) : f(y) = 0 \text{ for } 0 < y \leq 1\}$.)

A morphism between two Hilbert bundles E, F over Y is (cf. [24, Definition 1.5]) a continuous bundle map $\Phi : E \to F$ whose restriction $\Phi_y : E_y \to F_y$ for each $y \in Y$ is a bounded linear map and $\sup_{y \in Y} \|\Phi_y\| = \|\Phi\| < \infty$, and such that the adjoint map $\Phi^* : F \to E$, where $\Phi^*(\xi_y) = (\Phi_y)^*(\xi_y)$ for $\xi_y \in F_y$ is also continuous. It is obvious that any such morphism Φ determines an adjointable Hilbert module map $\tilde{\Phi} : C_0(Y, E) \to C_0(Y, F)$ by setting $\tilde{\Phi}(F)(y) = \Phi_y(F(y))$. It is also obvious that with these morphisms, the class of Hilbert bundles over Y is a category.

We have seen that every $C_0(Y, E)$ is a second countable $C_0(Y)$-Hilbert module. We will show that every second countable $C_0(Y)$-Hilbert module P is of this form. We recall first that a morphism between two Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-modules P, Q is an adjointable map $T : P \to Q$. This gives the category of Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-modules. Two Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-modules P, Q are said to be *equivalent* - written $P \cong Q$ - if there exists a unitary morphism $U : P \to Q$. Next, a result of Kasparov ([11, Theorem 1], [27, Lemma 15.2.9]) gives that in any Hilbert A-module P and for any $p \in P$,

$$p = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} p\langle p, p\rangle[\langle p, p\rangle + \epsilon]^{-1}. \tag{2}$$

It follows by Cohen's factorization theorem and (2) that $P = \{fp : f \in C_0(Y), p \in P\}$. In the stabilization theorem of Kasparov, the Hilbert A-modules are assumed to be countably generated. It is obvious that in our situation (P second countable) P is automatically countably generated.

Let P be a $C_0(Y)$-Hilbert module. We construct an associated Hilbert bundle E in the familiar way (e.g. [8]). For $y \in Y$, let $I_y = \{f \in C_0(Y) : f(y) = 0\}$, a closed ideal in $C_0(Y)$. By Cohen's factorization theorem, $I_y P$ is closed in P. Let $P/(I_y P) = P_y$. We claim that the norm on P_y is a Hilbert space norm, with inner product given by $\langle p + I_y P, q + I_y P\rangle = \langle p, q\rangle(y)$. This inner product is well-defined. To see that it is non-degenerate, suppose that $\langle p, p\rangle(y) = 0$. Then $\langle p, p\rangle \in I_y$ and by (2), $p \in \overline{(I_y P)} = I_y P$, and non-degeneracy follows. Let $E = \cup_{y\in Y} P_y$. If we wish to emphasize the connection of E with P, we write E_P in place of E. (If Q is just a pre-Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-submodule, we define E_Q to be $E_{\overline{Q}}$.) For each $p \in P$, let $\hat{p}(y) = p + I_p P \in H_y$. We sometimes write p_y in place of $\hat{p}(y)$. For each open subset U of Y and each $\epsilon > 0$, define $U_{p,\epsilon} = U_{\hat{p},\epsilon}$, the latter being defined as in (1).

We now show that the functor $E \to C_0(Y, E)$ is an equivalence for the categories of Hilbert bundles over Y and of Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-modules.

Proposition 2. *Let P be a Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-module. Then the family of $U_{p,\epsilon}$'s ($p \in P$) is a base for a second countable topology $\mathcal{T}_P$ on E which makes E into a Hilbert bundle over Y. Further, the map $p \to \hat{p}$ is a Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-module unitary from P onto $C_0(Y, E)$, and the map $P \to E$ is an equivalence between the category of Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-modules P and the category of Hilbert bundles E over Y.*

Proof. Give each $\hat{p}$ the uniform norm as a section of E. The proposition is an easier version of corresponding results for Banach A-modules in [8]. It is easier because, as earlier, by the polarization identity, the maps $y \to \|\hat{p}(y)\| = \sqrt{\langle p, p\rangle(y)}$ are continuous (instead of just upper semicontinuous) and vanish at infinity. Then $\|\hat{p}\|^2 = \|\langle p, p\rangle\| = \|p\|^2$, giving $p \to \hat{p}$ an isometry. We now check the conditions of Definition 2.1 to show that E is a Hilbert bundle over Y. One easily checks that the family of $U_{p,\epsilon}$'s ($p \in P$) is a base for a topology $\mathcal{T}_P$ on E, each $\hat{p}$ is continuous and the addition and scalar multiplication maps for E are continuous. The topology $\mathcal{T}_P$ on E is second countable since P is. This gives (i) of Definition 2.1, while (iii) of that definition is trivial. The remaining requirements, (ii) and (iv) will follow once we have shown that $\hat{P} = C_0(Y, E)$. As in the proof of Proposition 1 (cf. [8, Proposition 2.3]) $\hat{P}$ is dense in $C_0(Y, E)$. Further, $\langle \hat{p}, \hat{q}\rangle = \langle p, q\rangle$ giving the map $p \to \hat{p}$ unitary. Then $\hat{P} = C_0(Y, E)$ since the map $p \to \hat{p}$ is isometric and P is complete. A morphism $T : P \to Q$ of Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-modules determines a Hilbert bundle morphism $\Phi = \Phi_T : E_P \to E_Q$ in the natural way: set $\Phi = \{T_y\}$ where T_y is defined: $T_y p_y = (Tp)_y$. Then $\Phi : E_P \to E_Q$ is a continuous bundle map, and $\|\Phi\| = \|T\|$. $\square$

For a Hilbert bundle E over Y, let $G * E = \{(g, \xi) : s(g) = \pi(\xi)\}$ with the relative topology inherited from $G \times E$. Then E is called a *G-Hilbert bundle* if there is a continuous map $(g, \xi) \to g\xi$ from $G * E \to E$ which is algebraically a left groupoid action (by unitaries). (The unitary condition means that for each fixed $g \in G$, the map $\xi \to g\xi$ is unitary from $H_{s(g)}$ onto $H_{r(g)}$.) One can also define this notion in terms of pull-back bundles as in [17, 18], but the approach adopted here is more elementary, and closer in spirit to the usual definition of a group Hilbert bundle. A Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-module P is called a *G-Hilbert module* if E_P is a G-Hilbert bundle. The corollary to the following proposition shows that when G is a transformation groupoid $H \times Y$, a G-Hilbert module is the same as a Hilbert $(H, C_0(Y))$-module in the notation of [24]. (In [24, Proposition 1.3], it is shown that if E is an H-Hilbert bundle over Y, then $C_0(Y, E)$ is a Hilbert $(H, C_0(Y))$-module. The corollary shows that the opposite direction holds as well as long as we use the wider category of Hilbert bundles of the present paper.)

Proposition 3. *A left groupoid action of G on E is continuous if and only if, for each $F \in C_0(Y, E)$, the map $g \to gF_{s(g)}$ is continuous from $G \to E$.*

Proof. If the action is continuous, then trivially, the maps $g \to gF_{s(g)}$ are continuous. The converse is very similar to [23, Corollary 1], and so we give only a brief sketch of the proof. Suppose then that for each $F \in C_0(Y, E)$, the map $g \to gF_{s(g)}$ is continuous from $G \to E$. Let $\{g_n\}$ be a sequence in G and $\{\xi_n\}$ a sequence in E with $\xi_n \in E_{s(g_n)}$ such that $g_n \to g$ in G and $\xi_n \to \xi$ in E. We have to show that $g_n\xi_n \to g\xi$ in E. By Definition 2.1,(iii), there exist $F \in C_0(Y, E)$ such that $g\xi = F_{r(g)}$ and $F' \in C_0(Y, E)$ such that $\xi = F'_{s(g)}$. Then $\|\xi_n - F'_{s(g_n)}\| \to 0$, so that $\|g_n\xi_n - g_nF'_{s(g_n)}\| \to 0$ as well. Next, by assumption, $g_nF'_{s(g_n)} \to gF'_{s(g)} = g\xi = F_{r(g)}$ and so by the continuity of F, $\|g_nF'_{s(g_n)} - F_{r(g_n)}\| \to 0$. So $g_n\xi_n \to g\xi$. □

Corollary 2.2. *Let G be a transformation groupoid $H \times Y$. Then the map $E \to C_0(Y, E)$ is an equivalence between the category of H-Hilbert bundles over Y and the category of Hilbert $(H, C_0(Y))$-modules.*

Proof. We recall ([11, 19]) that a Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-module S is an $(H, C_0(Y))$-module if it is a left H-module such that $h(Ff) = (hF)(hf)$, the map $h \to hF$ is continuous, and $\langle hF, hF'\rangle = h\langle F, F'\rangle$ for all $h \in H, F, F' \in S$ and $f \in C_0(Y)$. (Of course, $(hf)(y) = f(h^{-1}y)$.) An H-Hilbert bundle over Y (cf. [24, Definition 1.2]) is a Hilbert bundle over Y (in the sense of this paper) with a continuous action $(h, \xi) \to h\xi$ from $H \times E$ into E such that for each y, the action of h on E_y is a unitary onto E_{hy}. (Recalling that $(H \times Y)_y = H$ for all y, it is obvious that H-Hilbert bundles over Y are just the same as the groupoid $(H \times Y)$-Hilbert bundles.) Suppose, first that E is an H-Hilbert bundle. Then (as in [24, Proposition 1.3]) the Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-module $C_0(Y, E)$ is a Hilbert $(H, C_0(Y))$-module, where $(fF)(y) = f(y)F(y)$ and $(hF)(y) = h[F(h^{-1}y)]$ $(F \in C_0(Y, E))$. For the converse, let P be a Hilbert $(H, C_0(Y))$-module, $E = E_P$. By Proposition 2, we can canonically identify P with $C_0(Y, E)$. It is obvious that $hI_y = I_{hy}$. We define a groupoid action of $H \times Y$ on E by setting $(h, y)(p + I_yP) = hp + I_{hy}$, i.e. $(h, y)p_y = (hp)_{hy}$. We now check that this is indeed a groupoid action (in the sense of this paper). The algebraic properties are obvious using the formulas for multiplication and inversion in $H \times Y$ given in the introduction. To prove that $H \times Y$ acts on E by unitaries,

$$\langle (h, y)p_y, (h, y)q_y\rangle = \langle hp, hq\rangle(hy) = \langle p, q\rangle(h^{-1}hy) = \langle p_y, q_y\rangle.$$

Last, to prove the continuity of the groupoid action on E, we have, by Proposition 3, to show, identifying $\hat{P}$ with $C_0(Y, E)$, that for each $p \in P$, the map $(h, y) \to (h, y)p_y$ is continuous from $H \times Y$ into E, i.e. that the map

$(h, y) \to (hp)_{hy}$ is continuous. This is simple to prove using the continuity of the map $h \to hp$ in P. $\square$

If P, Q are G-Hilbert modules, then a Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-module morphism $T : P \to Q$ is called *G-equivariant* if for all $g \in G$, $T_{r(g)}g = gT_{s(g)}$ on $(E_P)_{s(g)}$. Using the fact that the groupoid action is unitary, T^* is also G-equivariant. Of course, P and Q are said to be *equivalent* ($P \cong Q$) if there exists G-equivariant unitary between them.

A pre-Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-module Q is called a *pre-G-Hilbert module* if $\overline{Q}$ is a G-Hilbert module, and the action of G on $E = E_{\overline{Q}}$ leaves invariant the Q_y's, where Q_y is the image of Q in E_y. As we will see below, an important example of a pre-G-Hilbert module is the case $Q = C_c(G)$. The $C_0(Y)$-module action on $C_c(G)$ is given by: $(F, f) \to F(f \circ r)$ and the $C_0(Y)$-valued inner product on $C_c(G)$ by: $\langle F_1, F_2\rangle(y) = \langle (F_1)_y, (F_2)_y\rangle$ ($F_y = F_{|G^y}$). One uses the axioms for a locally compact groupoid to check the required properties. For example, the continuity of $y \to \langle (F_1)_y, (F_2)_y\rangle$ follows from the axiom that for $\phi \in C_c(G)$, the function $y \to \int_{G^y} \phi(g)\, d\lambda^y(g)$ is continuous. Let P_G be the Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-module completion of $C_c(G)$, and $L^2(G) = E_{P_G}$, the Hilbert bundle determined by P_G as in Proposition 2. It is easy to check that for each y, the image of $C_c(G)$ in H_y is naturally identified as a pre-Hilbert space with $C_c(G^y)$ with the $L^2(G^y)$ inner product. So the Hilbert space $(E_{P_G})_y = L^2(G^y)$ (which justifies writing E_{P_G} as $L^2(G)$). The isomorphism $F \to \hat{F}$ from $C_c(G)$ into $C_c(Y, L^2(G))$ takes F to the section $y \to F_y = \hat{F}(y)$, and the family of sets $U(F, \epsilon)$ forms a base for the topology of $L^2(G)$. The G-action on $L^2(G)$ is the natural one: $g\xi_{s(g)}(h) = \xi_{s(g)}(g^{-1}h)$ ($h \in G^{r(g)}$) for $\xi_{s(g)} \in L^2(G^{s(g)})$. We now show that this action is continuous for the topology of $L^2(G)$.

Proposition 4. *The G-action is continuous on $L^2(G)$ (so that $L^2(G)$ is a G-Hilbert bundle and P_G a G-Hilbert module).*

Proof. From Proposition 3, it suffices to show that if $\psi \in C_0(Y, L^2(G))$ and $g_n \to g$ in G, then $g_n\psi_{s(g_n)} \to g\psi_{s(g)}$. Since $\widehat{C_c(G)}$ is uniformly dense in $C_0(Y, L^2(G)) = \widehat{P_G}$ (Proposition 2), we can suppose that $\psi = \hat{F}$ where $F \in C_c(G)$. By Tietze's extension theorem, there exists $F' \in C_c(G)$ such that $F'_{r(g)} = gF_{s(g)}$. It is sufficient, then, to show that $\left\|F'_{r(g_n)} - g_nF_{s(g_n)}\right\|_2 \to 0$ since the $U(F', \epsilon)$'s ($r(g) \in U$) form a base of neighborhoods for $F'_{r(g)}$ in $L^2(G)$. Arguing by contradiction, suppose that the sequence $\{\left\|F'_{r(g_n)} - g_nF_{s(g_n)}\right\|_2\}$ does not converge to 0. We can then suppose that for some $k > 0$, $\left\|F'_{r(g_n)} - g_nF_{s(g_n)}\right\|_2 \geq k$ for all n. Let D be a compact subset of G containing the sequence $\{g_n\}$ and let $C = D\mathit{supp}(F) \cup \mathit{supp}(F') \subset G$. Since C is compact, $M = \sup_{u\in Y} \lambda^u(C^u) < \infty$. Then

$$[\sup\{\mid F'(h) - F(g_n^{-1}h) \mid : h \in G^{r(g_n)} \cap C\}]^2 M \geq \left\|F'_{r(g_n)} - g_nF_{s(g_n)}\right\|_2^2 \geq k^2.$$

So we can find $h_n \in G^{r(g_n)} \cap C$ such that $\mid F'(h_n) - F(g_n^{-1}h_n) \mid > \sqrt{k^2/(2M)}$. By the compactness of C, we can suppose that $h_n \to h \in G^{r(g)}$, and thus obtain $\mid F'(h) - gF_{s(g)}(h) \mid > 0$, contradicting $F'_{r(g)} = gF_{s(g)}$. $\square$

$C_0(Y)$ itself is naturally a G-Hilbert module. To see this, $C_0(Y)$ is, like every C^*-algebra, a Hilbert module over itself. The Hilbert bundle determined by $C_0(Y)$ is, of course, just $Y \times \mathbf{C}$. It is left to the reader to check that the topology determined on $E = Y \times \mathbf{C}$ is just the product topology. The G-action on Y is given by $(g, s(g), a) \to (r(g), a)$ (trivially continuous).

Let $E(i) = \{E(i)_y\}$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ be Hilbert bundles over Y and $P(i)$ the Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-module $C_0(Y, E(i))$. Let $E = E_{\oplus_{i=1}^n P(i)}$. It is easy to check that $E = \oplus_{i=1}^n E(i)$ with the relative topology inherited from $E(1) \times \ldots E(n)$. (Note also that the elements of $C_0(Y, E)$ are of the form $F = (F_1, \ldots, F_n)$ where $F_i \in C_0(Y, E(i))$.) Similarly if $1 \leq i < \infty$, then $E = \oplus_{i=1}^\infty E(i)$ is defined to be $E_{\oplus_{i=1}^\infty P(i)}$. (Here (e.g. [17, 2.2.1])) $\oplus_{i=1}^\infty P_i$ consists of all sequences $\{p_i\}$, $p_i \in P_i$, such that $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \langle p_i, p_i \rangle$ is convergent in $C_0(Y)$. The argument of, for example, [27, pp.237-238], shows that $\oplus_{i=1}^\infty P_i$ is a Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-module with $C_0(Y)$-valued inner product given by $\langle \{p_i\}, \{q_i\} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \langle p_i, q_i \rangle$.) Then for each y, E_y is the Hilbert space direct sum $\oplus_{i=1}^\infty E(i)_y$. Using Proposition 2, the topology on E can be conveniently described in terms of convergent sequences: $\xi^n \to \xi$ $(\xi^n = \{\xi_i^n\}, \xi = \{\xi_i\})$ if and only if $\xi_i^n \to \xi_i$ in $E(i)$ for all i and $\sum_{i=N}^\infty \|\xi_i^n\|^2 \to 0$ as $N, n \to \infty$. When $E(i) = E(1)$ for all i, then we write $E = E(1)^\infty$, corresponding to the module $P = P(1)^\infty$. Using the preceding criterion for convergent sequences, it is straightforward to show that the Hilbert bundles $\oplus_{i=1}^n E(i), \oplus_{i=1}^\infty E(i)$ are G-Hilbert bundles in the natural way if the $E(i)$'s are G-Hilbert bundles. Of course, $\oplus_{i=1}^n P(i), \oplus_{i=1}^\infty P(i)$ are then G-Hilbert modules.

We also require that for any G-Hilbert module P,

$$(P^\infty)^\infty \cong P^\infty. \tag{3}$$

To prove this, using the Cantor diagonal process, one "rearranges" a sequence $\{\xi_i\} \in (P^\infty)^\infty$, $\xi_i = \{\xi_{ij}\}$, $\xi_{ij} \in P$, as a sequence in P^∞, and checks that the $C_0(Y)$-Hilbert module structure and the G-action are preserved.

A number of natural G-Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-modules arise from other such modules as tensor products over $C_0(Y)$ (cf. [4, 5]). See [17, 3.2.2] for a pull-back approach to the construction of tensor product G-Hilbert modules. Let P, Q be pre-Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-modules and form the algebraic balanced tensor product $P \otimes_{alg, C_0(Y)} Q$. This is a pre-Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-module in the natural way, i.e. with $(p \otimes q)f = p \otimes qf = p \otimes fq = pf \otimes q$ and inner product given by $\langle p_1 \otimes q_1, p_2 \otimes q_2 \rangle = \langle p_1, p_2 \rangle \langle q_1, q_2 \rangle$. The completion of $P \otimes_{alg, C_0(Y)} Q$, quotiented out by the null space of the norm induced by the inner product, is a Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-module $P \otimes_{C_0(Y)} Q$. (When P, Q are Hilbert modules, the construction is a special case of the inner tensor product $P \otimes_\phi Q$ ([3, 13.5]) with $\phi : C_0(Y) \to B(Q)$ where $\phi(f)q = fq$ - see [16] and [28, I.1] for details of the construction of the inner tensor product.) Note that $P \otimes_{alg, C_0(Y)} Q$ is a dense Hilbert submodule of $\overline{P}\otimes_{C_0(Y)}\overline{Q}$, so that $\overline{P}\otimes_{C_0(Y)}\overline{Q} = P\otimes_{C_0(Y)}Q$. Canonically, $(P\otimes_{C_0(Y)}Q)_y$ is the Hilbert space tensor product $P_y\otimes Q_y$ and for $p\in P, q\in Q$, $\widehat{p\otimes q}(y) = \hat{p}(y)\otimes\hat{q}(y)$. We write $E_{P\otimes_{C_0(Y)}Q} = E_P\otimes E_Q$. (We note that this construction of the tensor product of two Hilbert bundles over Y cannot be defined, as for vector bundles, using charts in the usual way (as, for example, in [2, 1.2]).)

Proposition 5. *If P, Q are G-pre-Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-modules, then $P\otimes_{C_0(Y)}Q$ is a G-Hilbert module, the G-action being the diagonal one.*

Proof. By definition of $P\otimes_{C_0(Y)}Q$, we can assume that P, Q are G-Hilbert modules. It is obvious that G acts isometrically on $E_{P\otimes_{C_0(Y))}Q} = \cup_{y\in Y}P_y\otimes Q_y$. For G-continuity, we only need to check Proposition 3 when $F = \hat{v}$ where $v = \sum_{i=1}^{n}p_i\otimes q_i \in P\otimes_{alg,C_0(Y)}Q$. Suppose then that $y_r\to y$ in Y, $g_r\to g$ in G with $s(g_r) = y_r$. Since F is continuous, $F(y_r)\to\sum_{i=1}^{n}\hat{p}_i(y)\otimes\hat{q}_i(y)$. Since P, Q are G-Hilbert modules, for each i, $g_r\hat{p}_i(y_r)\to g\hat{p}_i(y), g_r\hat{q}_i(y_r)\to g\hat{q}_i(y)$ in E_P, E_Q respectively. Let $p_i'\in P, q_i'\in Q$ be such that $\widehat{p_i'}(r(g)) = g\widehat{p_i}(y)$, $\widehat{q_i'}(r(g)) = g\widehat{q_i}(y)$, and set $w = \sum_{i=1}^{n}p_i'\otimes q_i' \in P\otimes_{alg,C_0(Y)}Q$. Let $z_r = r(g_r)$. Then $\|\hat{w}(z_r) - \sum_{i=1}^{n}g_r\widehat{p_i}(y_r)\otimes g_r\widehat{q_i}(y_r)\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}[\|\widehat{p_i'}(z_r) - g_r\widehat{p_i}(y_r)\|\|g_r\widehat{q_i}(y_r)\| + \|\widehat{p_i'}(z_r)\|\|\widehat{q_i'}(y_r) - g_r\widehat{q_i}(y_r)\|]\to 0$. Since $\hat{w}(z_r)\to\hat{w}(r(g)) = gF(y)$, $g_rF(y_r) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}g_r\widehat{p_i}(y_r)\otimes g_r\widehat{q_i}(y_r)\to gF(y)$. So $P\otimes_{C_0(Y)}Q$ is a G-Hilbert module. ☐

Next, we require the result that for any G-Hilbert modules P, Q, we have that as G-Hilbert modules,

$$(P^{\infty}\otimes_{C_0(Y)}Q)\cong(P\otimes_{C_0(Y)}Q^{\infty})\cong(P\otimes_{C_0(Y)}Q)^{\infty}. \tag{4}$$

Let us prove that $(P^{\infty}\otimes_{C_0(Y)}Q)\cong(P\otimes_{C_0(Y)}Q)^{\infty}$, the other equality being proved similarly. Let R be the dense subspace of P^{∞} whose elements are the finite sequences $r = (p_1,\ldots,p_n,0,0,\ldots)$ with $p_i\in P$. Define a $C_0(Y)$-module map $\alpha : R\otimes_{alg,C_0(Y)}Q\to(P\otimes_{C_0(Y)}Q)^{\infty}$ by setting $\alpha(r\otimes q) = (p_1\otimes q,\ldots,p_n\otimes q,0,0,\ldots)$. It is easily checked that α is well-defined, and preserves the $C_0(Y)$-inner product: $\langle\alpha(r\otimes q),\alpha(r'\otimes q')\rangle = \langle r,r'\rangle\langle q,q'\rangle = \langle r\otimes q, r'\otimes q'\rangle$. The range of α is onto a dense subspace of $(P\otimes_{C_0(Y)}Q)^{\infty}$ and preserves the G-action, so the result follows.

Of particular importance is the case of the G-Hilbert module $P\otimes_{C_0(Y)}P_G$. We write $E_{P\otimes_{C_0(Y)}P_G} = L^2(G)\otimes E$ (or $E\otimes L^2(G)$) where $E = E_P$. Here $L^2(G)\otimes E$ is the Hilbert bundle over Y with $(L^2(G)\otimes E)_y = L^2(G^y, E_y)$ and a dense subspace of $C_0(Y, L^2(G)\otimes E)$, determining its topology as earlier, is given by the span of sections of the form $\hat{h}\otimes\hat{p}$ ($h\in C_c(G)$) where $(\hat{h}\otimes\hat{p})(y) = h_{|G^y}\otimes\hat{p}(y)$. A section k of $L^2(G)\otimes E$ is invariant if for all $g\in G$, $gk_{s(g)}(g^{-1}h) = k_{r(g)}(h)$ ($h\in G^{r(g)}$) as maps in $L^2(G^{r(g)}, E_{r(g)})$. We now identify a certain dense linear subspace $C_c(G, r^*E)$ of $C_0(Y, L^2(G)\otimes E)$ (cf. [26]). Here, $C_c(G, r^*E)$ is the set of continuous, compactly supported functions ϕ from G into E such that for all $g\in G$, $\phi(g)\in E_{r(g)}$. For each $y \in Y$ and $\phi \in C_c(G, r^*E)$, let $\hat{\phi}(y) = \phi_y$, the restriction of ϕ to G^y. Then $\hat{\phi}(y) \in C_c(G^y, E_y) \subset L^2(G^y, E_y) = (L^2(G) \otimes E)_y$ so that $\hat{\phi}$ is a section of $L^2(G) \otimes E$. The section norm on $C_c(G, r^*E)$ is then given by: $\|\phi\| = \sup_{y \in Y} \|\phi_y\|$.

Proposition 6. *Let P be a G-Hilbert module and $E = E_P$. Then $C_c(G, r^*E)\widehat{\ }$ is a dense subspace of $C_0(Y, L^2(G) \otimes E)$, and contains all functions of the form $\hat{h} \otimes \hat{p}$ above.*

Proof. Clearly, $\hat{h} \otimes \hat{p} \in C_c(G, r^*E)$ since the map $g \to h(g)\hat{p}(r(g))$ is continuous. For the rest of the proposition, the span of such functions $\hat{h} \otimes \hat{p}$ is uniformly dense in $C_0(Y, L^2(G) \otimes E)$, so it is enough to show that every $\hat{\phi}$ $(\phi \in C_c(G, r^*E))$ is in the uniform closure of this span.

To this end, let $H = supp(\phi)$. Let $y_0 \in Y$. Let W be a compact subset of G such that $H \subset W^0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. For each $g \in H$, let $p_g \in P$ be such that $\widehat{p_g}(r(g)) = \phi(g)$. Let $h_g \in C_c(G)$ be such that $h_g(g) = 1$. By continuity, there exists an open neighborhood U_g of g in G such that $U_g \subset W$ and such that for all $g' \in U_g$,

$$\|\phi(g') - h_g(g')\widehat{p_g}(r(g'))\| < \eta = \epsilon/[\sup_{y \in Y} \lambda^y(W)^{1/2} + 1].$$

Since H is compact, it is covered by a finite number of the U_g's, say $U_{g_1}, \ldots, U_{g_n}$. Taking a partition of unity, there exist functions $f_i \in C_c(U_{g_i})$, $f_i \geq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i = 1$ on H and $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \leq 1$ on G. Then for $g' \in W$, $\|\phi(g') - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(g')h_{g_i}(g')\widehat{p_{g_i}}(r(g'))\| < \eta$. It follows that for $y \in Y$,

$$\|\phi_y - \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^n (f_i h_{g_i} \otimes p_{g_i})_y\|_2 < \epsilon.$$

So $\phi \in C_0(Y, L^2(G) \otimes E)$. □

We now note two simple results on the tensor products of two G-Hilbert modules. First, if P is a G-Hilbert module then

$$C_0(Y) \otimes_{C_0(Y)} P \cong P. \tag{5}$$

The natural isomorphism is given by the equivariant Hilbert module map determined by: $f \otimes P \to fp$ $(f \in C_0(Y), p \in P)$. Next, it is left to the reader to check that if P, Q, R are G-Hilbert modules, then the Hilbert module direct sum $P \oplus Q$ is a G-Hilbert module in the obvious way, and

$$(P \oplus Q) \otimes_{C_0(Y)} R \cong (P \otimes_{C_0(Y)} R) \oplus (Q \otimes_{C_0(Y)} R). \tag{6}$$

The final proposition of this section is a groupoid version of [19, Lemma 2.3] (which applies to the group case).

Proposition 7. *Let P, Q be G-Hilbert modules with $P \cong Q$ as Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-modules. Then $P \otimes_{C_0(Y)} P_G \cong Q \otimes_{C_0(Y)} P_G$ as G-Hilbert modules.*

Proof. Let $E = E_P, F = E_Q$. By assumption, there exists a Hilbert module unitary $U : P \to Q$. For $\phi \in C_c(G, r^*E)$, define $V\phi : G \to r^*F$ by:

$$V\phi(g) = gU_{s(g)}(g^{-1}\phi(g)). \tag{7}$$

Using the continuity of $\Phi_U = \{U_y\}$ and of the G-actions of E, F, we see that $V\phi$ belongs to $C_c(G, r^*F)$. Regard, as earlier, $C_c(G, r^*E), C_c(G, r^*F)$ fibered over Y (with $\phi \to \{\phi_y\}$). Then V is a fiber preserving isomorphism onto $C_c(G, r^*F)$ with $V^{-1}\chi(g) = gU^*_{s(g)}(g^{-1}\chi(g))$. Further,

$$\langle (V\phi)_y, (V\psi)_y\rangle = \int \langle gU_{s(g)}(g^{-1}\phi_y(g)), gU_{s(g)}(g^{-1}\psi_y(g))\rangle \, d\lambda^y(g) = \langle \phi_y, \psi_y\rangle$$

so that V preserves inner products. So V extends to a Hilbert module unitary from $C_0(Y, L^2(G)\otimes E) \to C_0(Y, L^2(G)\otimes F)$, using Proposition 6 and Proposition 2. It remains to show that V is G-equivariant. We note first that by (7), V_y is given by: $V_y\xi(g) = gU_{s(g)}(g^{-1}\xi(g))$ for $\xi \in L^2(G^y, E_y), g \in G^y$. Then for $g, h \in G^y$, $[g(V_{s(g)}\xi_{s(g)})](h) = g[V_{s(g)}\xi_{s(g)}(g^{-1}h)] =$
$g(g^{-1}h)[U_{s(h)}((g^{-1}h)^{-1}\xi_{s(g)}(g^{-1}h))] = h[U_{s(h)}(h^{-1}[(g\xi_{s(g)})(h)])] =$
$V_{r(g)}(g\xi_{s(g)})(h)$, so that $gV_{s(g)} = V_{r(g)}g$ and V is equivariant. □

3. Stabilization

In this section we establish the proper groupoid stabilization theorem. Throughout, G is a proper groupoid and P a G-Hilbert module. We require two preliminary propositions. The first of these is the general groupoid version of [24, Lemma 2.8].

Proposition 8. *There exists a continuous, invariant section ϕ of the Hilbert bundle $L^2(G)^\infty$ such that $\|\phi(y)\|_2 = 1$ for all y. Locally, $\phi(y)$ is of the form*

$$((\psi_1)_{|G^y}, \ldots, (\psi_n)_{|G^y}, 0, \ldots)$$

where $\psi_i \in C_c(G)$.

Proof. For $y_0 \in Y$, let $a_{y_0} \in C_c(G)$ be such that $a_{y_0} \geq 0, a_{y_0}(y_0) > 0$. Let $\eta_{y_0} : G \to \mathbf{R}^+$ be given by:

$$\eta_{y_0}(g) = \int_{G^{r(g)}} a_{y_0}(h^{-1}g)\, d\lambda^{r(g)}(h).$$

We want to regard $k = \eta_{y_0}$ as a continuous, invariant section $y \to k_y$ of $L^2(G)$. To prove this, the invariance of k (i.e. that $g_0 k_{s(g_0)} = k_{r(g_0)}$, or equivalently, that $k(g_0^{-1}g) = k(g)$ for all $g_0, g \in G, r(g_0) = r(g)$) follows from an axiom for left Haar systems. For the continuity of the section $y \to k_y$ of $L^2(G)$, we will show that for any compact subset A of Y, $k_{|r^{-1}A} \in C_c(r^{-1}A)$. The continuity of k as a section of $L^2(G)$ then follows, since for every relatively compact open subset U of Y, there will then exist an $F \in C_c(G)$ such that $F = k$ on $r^{-1}U$ (so that $F_y = k_y$ for all $y \in U$). Since F is continuous as a section $y \to F_y$ of $L^2(G)$, so also is k. (Of course, $y \to k_y$ need not vanish at infinity.)

To show that $k_{|r^{-1}A} \in C_c(r^{-1}A)$, let C be the (compact) support of a_{y_0} and let $g \in r^{-1}A$. If $a_{y_0}(h^{-1}g) > 0$, then $r(h) = r(g) \in A$, and $s(h) \in r(C)$. By the properness of G, h belongs to the compact set $D = \{g' \in G : (r(g'), s(g')) \in A \times r(C)\}$. Let $F \in C_c(G)$ be such that $F = 1$ on D. Then on $r^{-1}(A)$, k coincides with the convolution $F * a_{y_0}$ of two $C_c(G)$-functions, and so is the restriction of a $C_c(G)$-function as required.

By the continuity and positivity assumptions on a_{y_0}, the function $\eta_{y_0}(y_0) > 0$. So $(\eta_{y_0})_{y_0} \neq 0$. By the continuity of $y \to \|(\eta_{y_0})_y\|_2$, the set $U_{y_0} = \{y \in Y : (\eta_{y_0})_y \neq 0\}$ is an open neighborhood of y_0 in Y. Since η_{y_0} is invariant, it follows that U_{y_0} is an invariant subset of Y, i.e. is such that for $g \in G$, $s(g) \in U_{y_0}$ if and only if $r(g) \in U_{y_0}$. Further, the U_{y_0}'s cover Y. Since the action of G on Y is proper, there is a G-partition of unity $\{f_\gamma : \gamma \in S\}$, where S can be taken to be infinitely countable (and so identified with $\{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$), subordinate to the U_y's ([21], [22, Proposition 4]). This means that for each γ, $f_\gamma \in C_c(Y)$, $0 \leq f_\gamma$, there exists a $y(\gamma) \in Y$ such that $supp(f_\gamma) \subset U_{y(\gamma)}$, and with $m_\gamma : Y \to \mathbf{R}$ given by $m_\gamma(y) = \int_{G^y} f_\gamma(s(g))\, d\lambda^y(g)$, we have

$$\sum_\gamma m_\gamma(y) = 1, \tag{8}$$

the sum being locally finite.

Using the properness of G and the continuity of the maps $y \to \int_{G^y} F(g)\, d\lambda^y(g)$ for $F \in C_c(G)$, m_γ is invariant (i.e. $m_\gamma(s(g)) = m_\gamma(r(g))$ for all $g \in G$) and continuous. Define a section $\phi = \{\phi_\gamma\}$ of $L^2(G)^\infty$ by setting

$$\phi_\gamma(y) = m_\gamma(y)^{1/2}(\|(\eta_{y(\gamma)})_{|G^y}\|_2)^{-1}(\eta_{y(\gamma)})_{|G^y}.$$

We take $\phi_\gamma(y)$ to be 0 whenever $(\eta_{y(\gamma)})_{|G^y} = 0$. For continuity reasons, we need to know that if $(\eta_{y(\gamma)})_{|G^y} = 0$ then $m_\gamma(y) = 0$. To prove this, suppose then that $(\eta_{y(\gamma)})_{|G^y} = 0$. Then $y \in Y \setminus U_{y(\gamma)}$, which is invariant since $U_{y(\gamma)}$ is. So if $g \in G^y$, then $s(g) \in Y \setminus U_{y(\gamma)}$, and in that case, $f_\gamma(s(g)) = 0$ (since the support of f_γ lies inside $U_{y(\gamma)}$), so that $m_\gamma(y) = 0$ from the definition of m_γ.

We now claim that $\phi = \{\phi_\gamma\}$ is continuous and G-invariant. For the continuity of ϕ, we note that $\|\phi_\gamma(y)\|_2^2 = m_\gamma(y)$, and use the preceding paragraph, the local finiteness of the sum in (8), and the continuity of the maps $y \to (\eta_{y(\gamma)})_{|G^y}$, $y \to \|(\eta_{y(\gamma)})_{|G^y}\|_2$ to obtain that locally ϕ takes values in some $L^2(G)^n$ with n finite and components the restrictions of $C_c(G)$-functions. Since the $\eta_{y(\gamma)}$, m_γ are G-invariant so also is ϕ.

Last, from (8), $\|\phi(y)\|_2 = [\sum_{\gamma \in S} \|\phi_\gamma(y)\|_2^2]^{1/2} = 1$. □

Proposition 9.

$$P \oplus (P \otimes_{C_0(Y)} P_G^\infty) \cong P \otimes_{C_0(Y)} P_G^\infty. \tag{9}$$

Proof. Let ϕ be the continuous, invariant section of $L^2(G)^\infty$ given by Proposition 8. For each $p \in P$, define a section $W\hat{p}$ of $E \otimes L^2(G)^\infty$ by: $W\hat{p} = \hat{p} \otimes \phi$. We claim that $W\hat{p} \in C_0(Y, E \otimes L^2(G)^\infty)$. To prove that $\|W\hat{p}(y)\| \to 0$ as $y \to \infty$, by Proposition 8, $\|\hat{p} \otimes \phi(y)\|_2 = \|\hat{p}(y)\|_2 \|\phi(y)\|_2 = \|\hat{p}(y)\|_2 \to 0$ as $y \to \infty$. The continuity of $W\hat{p}$ follows from the fact that locally, it is the restriction of an element of $(P \otimes_{alg, C_0(Y)} C_c(G)^n)\widehat{\ }$ (which is a subspace of the space of continuous sections of $E \otimes L^2(G)^\infty$). It is easy to check that

$W : C_0(Y, E) \to C_0(Y, E \otimes L^2(G)^\infty)$ is a linear, $C_0(Y)$-module map, and that $\langle W\hat{p}, W\hat{p'}\rangle = \langle \hat{p}, \hat{p'}\rangle$. Further, using the invariance of ϕ,

$$W_{r(g)}(g\hat{p}_{s(g)}) = g\hat{p}_{s(g)} \otimes \phi_{r(g)} = g\hat{p}_{s(g)} \otimes g\phi_{s(g)} = gW(\hat{p})_{s(g)} = gW_{s(g)}(\hat{p}_{s(g)})$$

so that W is G-invariant. By Proposition 2, there exists a map $V : P \to P \otimes_{C_0(Y)} P_G^\infty$ such that $\widehat{Vp} = W\hat{p}$. Note that $V_y p_y = (W\hat{p})_y$.

From the corresponding properties for W, $\langle V(p), V(p')\rangle = \langle p, p'\rangle$ and V is a G-equivariant $C_0(Y)$-module map. We claim that V is adjointable with adjoint V^* determined by: $V^*(p\otimes\psi) = p\langle\phi, \hat{\psi}\rangle$ for $\psi \in \cup_{n=1}^\infty C_c(G)^n$, a dense subspace of P_G^∞. Note that by definition, $\langle\phi, \hat{\psi}\rangle(y) = \langle\phi_y, \psi_y\rangle$ (the inner product evaluated in $L^2(G^y)^\infty$), and using Proposition 8, $\langle\phi, \hat{\psi}\rangle \in C_0(Y)$ and $|\langle\phi, \hat{\psi}\rangle(y)| \leq \|\psi_y\|$. Now

$$\langle p\otimes\psi, Vp'\rangle = \langle\hat{p}\otimes\hat{\psi}, \widehat{Vp'}\rangle = \langle\hat{p}, \hat{p'}\rangle\langle\hat{\psi}, \phi\rangle = \langle p\langle\phi, \hat{\psi}\rangle, p'\rangle.$$

It is easy to check that the bilinear map $p\otimes\psi \to p\langle\phi, \hat{\psi}\rangle$ extends to a linear map V^* from $P \otimes_{alg, C_0(Y)} P_G^\infty \to P$, and so $\langle t, Vp'\rangle = \langle V^*t, p'\rangle$ for all $t \in P \otimes_{alg, C_0(Y)} P_G^\infty, p' \in P$. Since $\|\langle V^*(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \otimes \psi_i), p'\rangle\| = \|\langle\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \otimes \psi_i, Vp'\rangle\| \leq \|\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \otimes \psi_i\|\|p'\|$, V^* is continuous on $P \otimes_{alg, C_0(Y)} P_G^\infty$ and so extends by continuity to $P \otimes_{C_0(Y)} P_G^\infty$. This extension is the adjoint of V as claimed.

Using the approach of Mingo and Phillips ([19]), define $U : P \oplus (P \otimes_{C_0(Y)} P_G^\infty) \to (P \otimes_{C_0(Y)} P_G^\infty)$ by:

$$U(p_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots) = (Vp_0 + (1 - VV^*)\xi_1, VV^*\xi_1 + (1 - VV^*)\xi_2, \ldots).$$

One checks that for each $w = (p_0, \xi)$, $U(w) = (b_1, b_2, \ldots)$ belongs to $(P \otimes_{C_0(Y)} P_G^\infty)^\infty$, i.e. that $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \langle b_i, b_i\rangle$ converges in $C_0(Y)$. By (3) and (4), $(P \otimes_{C_0(Y)} P_G^\infty)^\infty = P \otimes_{C_0(Y)} P_G^\infty$. Further, U preserves the $C_0(Y)$-valued inner product. Direct calculation shows that U has an adjoint given by:

$$U^*(\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots) = (V^*\eta_1, VV^*\eta_2 + (1 - VV^*)\eta_1, VV^*\eta_3 + (1 - VV^*)\eta_2, \ldots),$$

that U is unitary and, using the invariance of V, that U preserves the groupoid action. □

Theorem 3.1. *(Groupoid stabilization theorem) If P is a G-Hilbert module, then*

$$P \oplus P_G^\infty \cong P_G^\infty.$$

Proof. We claim first that

$$P_G^\infty \cong (P \otimes_{C_0(Y)} P_G^\infty) \oplus P_G^\infty. \tag{10}$$

For using (5), (3), (4), the non-equivariant stabilization theorem, Proposition 7 and (6),

$$P_G^\infty \cong (C_0(Y) \otimes_{C_0(Y)} P_G)^\infty \cong C_0(Y)^\infty \otimes_{C_0(Y)} P_G^\infty \cong (P \oplus C_0(Y)^\infty) \otimes_{C_0(Y)} P_G^\infty$$
$$\cong (P \otimes_{C_0(Y)} P_G^\infty) \oplus (C_0(Y)^\infty \otimes_{C_0(Y)} P_G^\infty) \cong (P \otimes_{C_0(Y)} P_G^\infty) \oplus P_G^\infty.$$

Using (10) and (9),

$$P \oplus P_G^\infty \cong P \oplus ((P \otimes_{C_0(Y)} P_G^\infty) \oplus P_G^\infty) = [P \oplus (P \otimes_{C_0(Y)} P_G^\infty)] \oplus P_G^\infty$$
$$\cong (P \otimes_{C_0(Y)} P_G^\infty) \oplus P_G^\infty \cong P_G^\infty.$$

□

References

[1] A. Adem, J. Leida and Y. Ruan, *Orbifolds and Stringy Topology*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 171, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.

[2] M. F. Atiyah, *K-Theory*, Benjamin Press, New York, 1967.

[3] B. Blackadar, *K-theory for operator algebras*, 2nd edition, MSRI Publications, Vol. 5, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[4] É. Blanchard, *Tensor products of $C(X)$-algebras over $C(X)$*, Recent advances in operator algebras (Orléans, 1992), Astérisque No. 232 (1995), 81-92.

[5] É. Blanchard, *Déformations de C^*-algebras de Hopf*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 124(1996), 141-215.

[6] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1994.

[7] J. Dixmier, *C^*-algebras.* trans. F. Jellett, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1977.

[8] M. J. Dupré and R. M. Gillette, *Banach bundles, Banach modules and automorphisms of C^*-algebras*, Pitman Publishing Inc., Marshfield, Massachusetts, 1983.

[9] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups and Symmetric Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.

[10] N. Higson, *On the K-Theory Proof of the Index Theorem*, Contemp. Math. 148(1993), 67-86.

[11] G. G. Kasparov, *Hilbert C^*-modules: theorems of Stinespring and Voiculescu*, J. Operator Theory 4(1980), 133-150.

[12] G. G. Kasparov, *The operator K-functor and extensions of C^*-algebras*, Math. USSR-Izv. 16(1981), 513-572.

[13] G. G. Kasparov, *K-theory, group C^*-algebras, and higher signatures (Conspectus)*, Parts 1 and 2, Preprint, 1981.

[14] G. G. Kasparov, *Equivariant KK-theory and the Novikov conjecture*, Invent. Math. 91(1988), 147-201.

[15] J. L. Kelley, *General Topology*, D. Van Nostrand, New York, 1955.

[16] E. C. Lance, *Hilbert C^*-modules: A toolkit for operator algebraists*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, vol. 210, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

[17] P.-Y. Le Gall, *Théorie de Kasparov équivariante et groupoïdes*, Doctoral Thesis, University of Paris VII, 1994.

[18] P.-Y. Le Gall, *Théorie de Kasparov équivariante et groupoïdes I*, K-Theory 16(1999), 361-390.

[19] J. A. Mingo and W. J. Phillips, *Equivariant triviality theorems for Hilbert C^*-modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 91(1984), 225-230.

[20] Paterson, A. L. T., *Groupoids, inverse semigroups and their operator algebras*, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 170, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1999.

[21] A. L. T. Paterson, *The analytic index for proper, Lie groupoid actions*, Contemp. Math. 282(2001), 115-135.

[22] A. L. T. Paterson, *The equivariant analytic index for proper groupoid actions*, K-Theory 32(2004), 193-230.

[23] A. L. T. Paterson, *The E-theoretic descent functor for groupoids*, J. Functional Analysis 255(2008), 1458-1479.

[24] N. C. Phillips, *Equivariant K-theory for proper actions*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 178, John Wiley, New York, 1988.
[25] J. N. Renault, *A groupoid approach to C^*-algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 793, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
[26] J. N. Renault, *Répresentation des produits croisés d'algèbres de groupoïdes*, J. Operator Theory, 18(1987), 67-97.
[27] N. E. Wegge-Olsen, *K-Theory and C^*-algebras*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1993.
[28] D. P. Williams, *Crossed Products of C^*-algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 134, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R. I., 2007.

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BOULDER, COLORADO 80309-0395
E-mail address: `apat1erson@gmail.com`